



LAWRENCE
LIVERMORE
NATIONAL
LABORATORY

Integrated Global Nuclear Materials Management - Preliminary Concepts -

M. Dreicer, E. Jones, J. Richardson

July 14, 2006

Integrated Global Nuclear Materials Management - Preliminary
Concepts -

Nashville, TN, United States

July 16, 2006 through July 20, 2006

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Integrated Global Nuclear Materials Management - Preliminary Concepts -



**M. Dreicer, E. Jones and J. Richardson
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
26 July 2006**

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract W-7405-Eng-48.

- **The generation, flow, and use of nuclear materials is essential to international security and is a fundamental compelling and enduring mission of DOE/NNSA**
- **Nuclear material activities and threats are “global” and continue to expand**
- **U.S. homeland security requires global nuclear materials management - globally integrated defense-in-depth**
- **An overarching concept is needed to address existing legacy nuclear/radiological materials and transition towards a nuclear energy future, while strengthening the global nonproliferation regime**
- **An consistent framework would help achieve an integrated and global view to help direct the USG technology development and complementary policy strategies**

Systems Approach to Connect Global Objectives and Local Actions



- Articulate global objectives into a hierarchy of subsystem requirements and local attributes and measures
- Establish a baseline system and viable alternatives through the interactions and relationships (e.g., networks) of local system elements and their options
- Evaluate performance of system alternatives and develop improved nuclear material management strategies and technologies
- The need to address greatest concerns first (prioritized or graded approach) and to make tradeoffs among implementation options and competing objectives entails a risk-based approach

Particular interest in navigating the transition from nuclear material legacy -- through present-day -- to emerging nuclear future

- **IGNMM could provide a systematic understanding of global nuclear materials management and evolutionarily improve and integrate the management through an active architecture, using for example, situation awareness, system models, methods, technologies, and international cooperation**
- **Different tools would be used within the overall framework to address individual issues on the desired geographic scale that could be easily linked to broader analyses**
- **Life-cycle system analyses would allow for evaluating material path alternatives on an integrated global scale**
- **Disconnects, overlaps, technical options, and alternatives for optimizing nuclear materials processes could be evaluated in an integrated manner**

- **We need strategies to manage nuclear material network risks, for example:**
 - If security is to be achieved through redundancy, the redundant devices should be diverse
 - Diversity: identify sources of correlated faults, and utilize security elements not susceptible to those sources
 - Decentralization and decoupling: protecting critical elements by isolating them may make them more vulnerable if their isolation can not be guaranteed
 - It may pay to search for effective ways to reduce propagating events at the cost of other benefits or efficiencies (e.g., operations)
 - Institutional controls that act as ‘safety switches’
 - Build in more tolerance for lower risks to achieve less tolerance for higher risks (e.g., performance based regulatory and control regimes)

IGNMM Activities May Be Viewed as a Complex Network



- **Complex, decentralized networks are common: consist of nodes of activity with links among the nodes**
 - The Worldwide Web
 - Transport routes among US cities
 - Distribution supply to Wal-Mart
 - Global oil and steel enterprises
 - Source, movement, and use of nuclear materials
- **The research challenge is to anticipate where and how security failures can occur in a dynamic nuclear material network and how to prevent them**
 - Complexity entails that the usual system analysis approach of partitioning components can miss important behaviors: prior events can effect unexpected subsequent behavior (e.g., legacy materials and terrorism)
 - Uncertainty: there are too many scenarios to use that approach, so how do you manage system uncertainties?

- **Need to understand the network system risks**
 - Man-made networks exhibit distributions of performance fluctuations at nodes and along links
 - Implies likelihood and magnitude of variances in security may be predictable
 - Implies catastrophic events are inevitable; but likelihood can be reduced by design
 - Highly interactive network elements can lead to large vulnerabilities and failures
- **Vulnerabilities in a network system**
 - Independent events (e.g., site reactor operations)
 - Correlated or common mode events (e.g., inherent vulnerabilities in similar process facilities or transportation modes)
 - Propagating events (e.g., incremental diversion of materials or technology to bad actors)
 - Attacks or deliberate failures: exploit some vulnerability in a surprising new way (e.g, clandestine program among more than one monitored state)

- **Defense-in-depth - address all phases of nuclear material-related risks**
 - Securing and safeguarding source material
 - Detection and tracking material movement and processes
 - Interdiction and response to undesirable events
 - Diversity in prevention and mitigation approaches and measures
 - Reducing attractiveness of fuel materials and process streams for weapons-usability

- **Test management strategies using systematic assessment of risks vs. investments**
 - Developing technical and institutional options to increase barriers to proliferation
 - Which technologies and systems should be deployed and where?
 - What new capabilities are needed to address challenges?
 - How can we work most effectively in international cooperation?

Proliferation Resistance of Emerging Generation of Nuclear Energy Fuel Cycles



- **Starting with a basis of nuclear materials inventory, movements and security, this framework can be used in evaluating proliferation resistance of possible fuel cycle options**
- **Established or evolving methodologies would be used to evaluate options (e.g., PR & PP)**
- **PR & PP evaluation measures include:**
 - Quantity, attractiveness, and accessibility of weapons-usable materials
 - Potential for delays in or detection of weapon production activity
- **Improved IGNMM performance requires constant iteration among situation awareness, assessment of the risk landscape, and developing better management strategies**

Examples of S&T thrusts and cross-cutting capabilities



- **System and risk sciences for complex, decentralized networks**
- **Identification of diversion of nuclear material**
 - Improving nuclear security
 - Nuclear latency management and the world's future nuclear control regime (e.g., up-to-date situational awareness of high-risk material inventory & location)
 - Quantify margins of uncertainty
 - Near-real-time warning and incident characterization
 - New material forms and technologies for surety of anticipated nuclear technology developments
- **Bridging the knowledge gap between S&T and policy development and implementation**

- **Information acquisition, data management, simulation and modeling, and decision support**
- **System and risk sciences for complex, decentralized networks of activities**
- **Material forms, technologies, and behaviors for surety of anticipated nuclear technology developments**
- **Enhanced detection and monitoring technologies and practices**
- **International collaborations on nuclear management issues and control regimes**
- **Data integration and optimal user interface**

- **Propagate best and improved material control programs and practices**
 - Master material management plans
 - Reduction and consolidation of material inventories
- **Transportation security under new governance regimes**
- **Optimized disposition paths and end-states**
- **Better understanding of latency management or proliferation plausibility**
- **More effective regional security measures and cooperation**
- **Support or effective future nuclear fuel cycle regimes**